## 15 Page 1 of 4 IT IS SO ORDERED 1 ROBERT E. FREITAS (SBN 80948) rfreitas@fawlaw.com 2 DANIEL J. WEINBERG (SBN 227159) dweinberg@fawlaw.com 3 FREITAS ANGELL & WEINBERG LLP 350 Marine Parkway, Suite 200 Stay Continued until 9/30/15. Joint Statement due on Redwood Shores, CA 94065 4 September 25, 2015. (650) 593-6300 Telephone: 5 Facsimile: (650) 593-6301 6 Attorneys for Plaintiff MLC INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY, LLC 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 MLC INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY, Case No. 3:14-cv-03657 SI LLC. 12 JOINT STATUS REPORT REGARDING Plaintiff, INTER PARTES REVIEW INSTITUTION 13 **DECISION** v. 14 The Honorable Susan Illston 15 MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC., 16 Defendant. 17 18 19 Pursuant to the Court's Order Granting Defendant's Motion to Stay (Dkt. No. 31), MLC

Case 3:14-cv-03657-SI Document 35

Intellectual Property, LLC ("MLC") and Micron Technology, Inc. ("Micron") jointly report that on July 20, 2015, the Patent Trial and Appeal Board of the Patent and Trademark Office ("PTAB") issued a decision denying institution of an inter partes review of any challenged claim of U.S. Patent No. 5,764,571 (the "'571 patent"). Ex. A, IPR2015-00504, Paper 8 at 16.

MLC submits that the stay, entered February 3, 2015, should immediately be lifted and requests that the Court reschedule the case management conference previously set for March 20, 2015.

Micron submits that the stay, entered February 3, 2015, should remain in place until such

28

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

## Case 3:14-cv-03657-SI Document 35 Filed 07/28/15 Page 2 of 4

1	time that the PTAB rules on Micron's planned request for rehearing of the PTAB's decision		
2	refusing to institute the <i>inter partes</i> review. The deadline for filing Micron's request for		
3	rehearing is August 19, 2015. Requests for rehearing are typically resolved quickly. For		
4	example, a review of recent PTAB decisions shows that the PTAB takes, on average,		
5	approximately 36 days from time of filing to issue its decision on requests for rehearing. <sup>1</sup> As a		
6	result, maintaining the current stay in place until Micron has exhausted the administrative		
7	procedures available before the PTAB would not result in any tangible prejudice to Plaintiff.		
8	Micron requests that the parties be required to jointly notify the Court upon receipt of the PTAB's		
9	decision on Micron's request for rehearing. At that time, the Court may lift the stay or keep it in		
10	place pending final resolution of the <i>inter partes</i> review, if instituted.		
11	Respectfully submitted,		
12	Dated: July 27, 2015 FREITAS ANGELL & WEINBERG LLP		
13	/s/Daniel J. Weinberg		
14	Robert E. Freitas		
15	Daniel J. Weinberg		
	FREITAS ANGELL & WEINBERG LLP 350 Marine Parkway, Suite 200		
16	Redwood Shores, CA 94065		
17	Telephone: (650) 593-6300		
	Facsimile: (650) 593-6301		
18 19	Attorneys for Plaintiff MLC INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LLC		
20			
	<sup>1</sup> See Paper No. 15, Daicel Corp. v. Celanese Intl. Corp., IPR2015-00173 (June 26, 2015) (56		
21	days to decision); Paper No. 18, Merial Ltd. v. Virbac, IPR2014-01279 (April 15, 2015) (55 days to decision); Paper No. 21, TRW Automotive US LLC v. Magna Electronics, Inc., IPR2014-00266		
22	(August 11, 2014) (32 days to decision); Paper No. 13, FourSquare Labs, Inc. v. Silver State Intellectual Technologies, Inc., IPR2014-00159 (August 1, 2014) (51 days to rehearing decision);		
23	Paper No. 14, <i>Facebook, Inc. v. Rembrandt Social Media, L.P.</i> , IPR2014-00415 (July 31, 2014) (10 days to rehearing decision); Paper No. 36, <i>K-40 Electronics, LLC v. Escort, Inc.</i> , IPR2013-		
24	00203 (May 30, 2014) (7 days to decision); Paper No. 23, Synopsys, Inc. v. Mentor Graphics Corporation, IPR2014-00920 (January 22, 2015) (23 days to rehearing decision); Paper No.		
25	28, Spectra Logic Corporation v. Overland Storage, Inc., IPR2013-00357 (January 8, 2015) (12		
26	days to decision); Paper No. 10, HTC Corporation and HTC America, Inc. v. E-Watch, Inc. and E-Watch Corporation, IPR2014-00987 (January 7, 2015) (15 days to decision); Paper No. 10, Torrent Pharmaceutical LTD. v. Merck Frosst Canada & Co., IPR2014-00559 (January 7,		
~	-,		

JOINT STATUS REPORT REGARDING INTER PARTES REVIEW INSTITUTION DECISION No. 3:14-cv-03657 SI

2015) (70 days to decision); Paper No. 17, *The Jewelry Channel, Inc. USA d/b/a Liquidation Channel v. America's Collectibles Network, Inc.*, CBM2014-00119 (January 6, 2015) (64 days to

27

28

decision).

## Case 3:14-cv-03657-SI Document 35 Filed 07/28/15 Page 3 of 4

1	Dated: July 27, 2015	FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.
2		/s/Michael Headley
3		Michael Headley (SBN 220834) FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.
4		500 Arguello Street, Suite 500 Redwood City, CA 94063
5		headley@fr.com
6		Telephone: (650) 839-5070 Facsimile: (650) 839-5071
7		Timothy W. Riffe ( <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> )
8		Adam R. Shartzer (Pro Hac Vice)
9		FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. 1425 K. Street, N.W., 11th Floor
10		Washington, DC 20005 Telephone: (202) 783-5070
11		Facsimile: (202) 783-2331
12		Attorneys for Defendant MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC.
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
77		

28

1	ATTESTATION		
2	I, Daniel J. Weinberg, am the ECF User whose ID and password are being used to file this		
3	JOINT STATUS REPORT REGARDING INTER PARTES REVIEW INSTITUTION		
4	<b>DECISION</b> . I attest that, pursuant to United States District Court, Northern District of California		
5	Civil L.R. 5-1(i)(3) and General Order 45, concurrence in the filing of this document has been		
6	obtained from Counsel for Defendant Micron Technology, Inc. I declare under penalty of perjury		
7	that the foregoing is true and correct.		
8			
9	Dated: July 27, 2015 /s/Daniel J. Weinberg  Daniel J. Weinberg		
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			